UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,955	03/06/2007	Mitsuyoshi Matsushita	127586	5087
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 02/13/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/575,955	MATSUSHITA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set o	ER, FROM THE MAILING I ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 e mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory perior r extended period for reply will, by statu e later than three months after the maili	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) This action is FIN 3) Since this applica	tion is in condition for allow	April 2006. is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above of 5) Claim(s) is 6) Claim(s) is 7) Claim(s) is	/are rejected.	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the	ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the lead of the lead	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	e* c) None of: pies of the priority documer pies of the priority documer ne certified copies of the pri from the International Bure	nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	(DTO 902)	4) □ Internity 0 mg	(PTO 442)
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 3-7 and 16, drawn to a piezoelectric single crystal.

Group II, claim(s) 2 and 12-15, drawn to a piezoelectric crystal including a composition that contains 5 mol% or less in total of at least one element selected from the group consisting of Mn, Cr, Sb, W, Al, La, Li and Ta.

Group III, claim(s) 8-11, 17 and 18, drawn to a method of making a piezoelectric crystal.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method claims are drawn to the manufacture of a structure while the other claims are drawn to different structures. The method claims, if elected, may be subject to further restriction in that art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,955 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either in showing if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

/T. M. D./

tmd /Thomas M. Dougherty/

February 7, 2008 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834